

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3505 of 2014
Date of Decision: 15.03.2018

Rajinder Singh Kaura & others

....Petitioner (s)

Versus

Sham Sunder Bajaj & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. G.S. Gandhi, Advocate
for respondents no.2 to 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 6 states that since the very suit filed on behalf of the respondent-plaintiffs has been decreed and against the said decree, the petitioner-defendants have filed an appeal, which is pending before learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, the present revision has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement so made on behalf of learned counsel for respondents no.2 to 6, the present revision is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

However, in case the petitioners are still aggrieved from this order, they are at liberty to revive this revision petition.

March 15, 2018

AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No