

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3305 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 16, 2018**

Kiran Petitioner

Versus

Yash Paul and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner is aggrieved of the orders dated 12.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) and 25.04.2018 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Dinv.), Jagraon.

It comes out that in the suit, the present petitioner was defendant No.4. On 12.05.2015, she did not appear and was proceeded against ex parte. An application filed by her for setting aside the ex parte proceedings was dismissed, vide order dated 25.04.2018 (Annexure P-6). The case is now at the stage of evidence of the defendants.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that she is only to produce some documents and seek permission of the Court only to lead evidence to support the case of co-defendants without filing written statement.

It being so, the impugned order 12.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) is modified to the extent that without filing the written statement, petitioner-

defendant No.4 is permitted to join the proceedings from the present stage and lead evidence to support the case of co-defendants and the revision against the order dated 25.04.2018 (Annexure P-6) has become infructuous.

As such, the present revision petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 16, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No