

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3285 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 16, 2018**

Baljit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Inder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Monika Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 23.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jagraon, District Ludhiana, his suit qua defendant Nos.2, 6, 7 and 8 has been dismissed on account of the fact that fresh address of defendants was not supplied.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that defendants Nos. 2, 6, 7 and 8 are nephews of the plaintiff and residing at the same address and that the address supplied to the Court is correct.

It being so, the impugned order is set aside. The plaintiff-petitioner can file necessary affidavit with appropriate prayer before the trial Court.

As such, the present revision petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 16, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No