

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3258 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : May 16, 2018**

**Manjit Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Sukhwinder Singh**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 07.04.2018 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Mansa, vide which the warrants of attachment of the property were issued.

The plea of learned counsel for the petitioner is that against the judgment and decree, the appeal has been filed. However, in the said appeal, no stay has been granted. The decree holder has filed the execution, in which warrants have been issued.

It is stated that the objections were filed. However, the copy of the objections (Annexure P-3) shows that only grouse is that appeal has been filed against the judgment and decree.

I am of the view that these are not objections, but mere information. Therefore, these were rightly ignored in the absence of any

order from the superior Court. Thus, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

May 16, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable:                                      No