Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.05.15 16:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 3235 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 15.5.2018

Jugraj Singh through LRs ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner states that due to negligence of counsel, fresh calculations, as sought by the Executing Court, were not filed. Therefore, his execution has been dismissed holding that JDs have convinced the Court that they have already deposited the full and final payment.

The learned counsel for petitioner seeks one opportunity to file fresh calculations to show as to what amount is sought from JDs.

In view of the matter, impugned order is set aside and decreeholder is allowed to file fresh calculations within fifteen days before the Executing Court and press for remaining recovery, if found due. As such, present revision petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No