

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3189 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 14, 2018**

Smt. Manisha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Manjeet

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide impugned order dated 20.04.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Meham, District Rohtak, due the non-filing of the written statement within the statutory period of 90 days, the defence of the present petitioners-defendant Nos.1 and 2 was struck off.

It is stated that the written statement is ready and the petitioners-defendant Nos.1 and 2 may be given one opportunity to file the written statement, subject of payment of costs.

The suit is for damages. While exercising the inherent powers, one opportunity is given to the present petitioners-defendant Nos.1 and 2 to file written statement within two weeks from today, subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/-, payable to the plaintiff.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 14, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No