

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R No. 3193 of 2017
Date of decision : August 14, 2018

Surjit Kumar

..... Petitioner

v.

Anil Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the earlier petition was dismissed on the ground that the provisions of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973 had not been made applicable because 10 years had not elapsed. He seeks permission to withdraw this petition and prays that since now 10 years have elapsed, liberty may be given to file a fresh eviction petition.

Allowed as prayed for. Dismissed as withdrawn.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

August 14, 2018
`kk'

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**