

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3134 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 10, 2018**

Kulwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kartar Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh Sirphikhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 07.02.2018 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Batala, vide which the plaintiffs-respondent Nos.1 to 3 were granted one opportunity to produce the certified copy of the sale deed dated 15.06.2010 (at some places mentioned as sale deed dated 15.06.2001), subject to payment of costs of ₹1,000/-.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier at the same stage the application for additional evidence for producing the copy of Aks Shajra was dismissed. Therefore, the second application could not be allowed.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that the Court was of the opinion that the production of copy of the said sale deed is material for proper adjudication of the present case between the parties.

It being so, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the discretion exercised by the trial Court.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed. Since the main revision petition has been dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioner can always pray to the trial Court to seek opportunity to rebut the said sale deed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 10, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No