

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.112**

**CR-3131-2018**

**Date of decision: 30.10.2018**

Buta Singh

....Petitioner

versus

Rajinder Kaur @ Meenu and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. G.S. Salana, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The present petition is directed against the order dated 02.02.2018 passed by the District Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib (for short- 'the Trial Court'), through which in an application preferred by respondent No.1- wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the petitioner-husband has been directed to pay interim maintenance @ ₹4,000/- per month to respondent No.1-wife and ₹3,000/- per month to the minor son of the parties.

It is not disputed that the petitioner is a qualified Mechanical Fitter and was in the recent past serving abroad. The Trial Court has also referred to photocopies of bank receipts produced by the petitioner/husband himself showing therein that he had sufficient income.

In view of the above, no fault can be found with the order of the Trial Court directing the petitioner to pay ₹4,000/- per month to respondent No.1-wife and ₹3,000/- per month to the minor son of the parties as interim maintenance. Further no error is also found in the direction given by the Trial Court mandating the petitioner to pay to the respondent No.1-wife ₹10,000/- as litigation costs.

Dismissed.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 30, 2018**

*Jyoti 1*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |