

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 3505-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.03.2018

Omi Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

Roshan Lal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Nonish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for respondent no.1
Mr.Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for respondent no.2

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 21.3.2015, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Karnal, vide which, third party objections filed by the petitioner have been dismissed.

It is not disputed that the said order is an appealable order and appeal lies before the District Judge. It comes out that the petitioner claims that the property was purchased by her from a person, who had purchased it from JD. There was no attachment order against the said property. Therefore, she is a bona fide purchaser.

The petitioner is relegated to plead all the contentions before the first appellate Court.

Till the decision of the first appellate Court, the sale of the property in dispute shall remain stayed.

In view of the above, the present revision petition stands

disposed of.

It is further directed that if an appeal is filed within 30 days from today, the same will be decided on merits notwithstanding the limitation.

Since the present petition has been disposed of, therefore, the pending CM, if any, also stands disposed of.

26.03.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No