

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3008 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 07, 2018**

Smt. Rekha Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Saurabh Jain

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.R. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the application filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the wife-petitioner has been allowed ₹22,000/- as litigation expenses, whereas she had claimed ₹33,000/- as counsel fee and ₹5,000/- for other expenses.

I am of the view that the trial Court has exercised the discretion. Therefore, it is not a fit case, where the revisional powers should be exercised to enhance the litigation expenses.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No