

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3002 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 07, 2018**

Gurmeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kuldip Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 29.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) (NRI Cases), Jalandhar, whereby the defence of the petitioner-defendant No.1 was struck off.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that defendant No.1 was appearing and the case was fixed for the service of defendant Nos.2 and 3. In the meanwhile, the trial Court after granting three opportunities to the petitioner-defendant No.1 to file written statement, struck off his defence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further contended that it was due to wrong impression gathered by the counsel that the case is fixed for service of co-defendants that he did not file the written statement. She undertakes to file the written statement within 10 days, subject to payment of costs.

Keeping in view the nature of the litigation, the petitioner is granted 10 days time to file written statement before the trial Court, subject

to payment of ₹5,000/- as costs to the plaintiffs. The impugned order stands, accordingly, modified.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 07, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No