

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2980 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 07, 2018**

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amrinder Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nitin Sherwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 03.02.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Dhiri,, vide which an application for dismissing the suit on the ground of *res judicata* has been dismissed.

Heard.

After going through the file, I am of the view that the issue on the *res judicata* is to be framed. The parties are to be allowed to lead evidence and then findings are to be recorded whether the suit is barred by *res judicata* or not. The suit is of the year 2013 and must have matured. Let the findings on all the issues should be recorded.

It is further directed that if the issue of *res judicata* is not framed, the trial Court shall frame the same and decide it with the main case.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No