

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2926 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 04, 2018**

Vijay Srivastava

..... Petitioner

Versus

Monika Singh (Srivastava)

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has impugned the order dated 26.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, vide which maintenance pendente lite @ Rs.7,000/- has been allowed to the wife-respondent.

Heard.

After going through the file and keeping in view the price of essential commodities and the status of the parties, I am of the view that a sum of ₹7,000/- per month as maintenance pendente lite cannot be called excessive or exorbitant. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the quantum of maintenance fixed by the learned Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad,

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

However, at the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, is directed to

send the case to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Faridabad for making efforts for reconciliation.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No