

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2923 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 04, 2018**

Dinesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mrs Meena Gogia and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 08.09.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Amritsar, vide which application filed by the respondent No.3 under Order I, Rule 10 CPC has been allowed.

Heard.

It comes out that the plaintiff is seeking permanent injunction among others against that defendant No.1, who is his sister that she should not instigate her mother Sangeeta as well as his younger brother Ajay Kumar. On the application filed by Sangeeta, mother of the plaintiff, she has been added as party. Since, basically the relief is sought for Sangeeta, mother of the plaintiff, therefore, she is necessary and proper party. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would like to amend the prayer. If it is so, he is always at liberty to move

proper application before the trial Court and it is the trial Court to take decision on the said application on merits.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No