

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 3187 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.5.2018**

Krishna

... Petitioner

Versus

Jivnee and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate, for
Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that as the dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved, nothing substantive survives in this petition. Rather, in terms thereof, the petitioner was required to withdraw this revision petition.

As to this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even as per his instructions, the dispute has since been resolved, but he has not been communicated anything in concrete.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, in the event, any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, the parties shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the matter at hands, for its decision on merits.

17.5.2018
AK Sharma

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO