

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 2901-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2018

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Chiman Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Nitish Garg, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 17.1.2018, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Ferozepur, vide which, in an appeal, application of the petitioner to file appeal as an indigent person was declined.

Heard.

It comes out that suit for recovery of Rs.3,75,000/- has been decreed against the present petitioner. Before the lower appellate Court, he filed an appeal as an indigent person, stating that he does not have sufficient means to pay the Court fee.

Trial Court, after considering the report of the Collector that he does not have any property in his name, took into consideration that he had engaged a private counsel at the time of trial. He furnished indemnity bonds in which his father is guarantor. His father has executed Will in favour of sons of the petitioner apparently to frustrate the decree. The petitioner has

sold the tractor for Rs.three lakhs. According to the learned counsel, amount of Court fee comes to Rs.12,800/-.

I am of the view that it is not such a big amount which the petitioner cannot pay. No ground is made to interfere in the impugned order.

Resultantly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

03.05.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No