

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

C.R.No. 2890 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 23, 2018

Lilawati and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Parkash Nath Bhanot (deceased) thr his legal heirs.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sharan Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Divanshu Jain, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Present revision is directed against the order passed by Rent Controller, Chandigarh whereby application for impleadment moved by the petitioners has been rejected. It has been vehemently contended by counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are owners of the property and thus their presence before the court is necessary.

Plea has been opposed by counsel for the respondents. According to him, petitioners are neither tenants nor owners of the property. Admittedly, eviction petition was filed way back in the year 2014 by respondent Nos. 1 & 2 against respondent Nos. 3 & 4. Petitioners are never in the picture. Besides, it is inexplicable in case petitioners wanted eviction of tenants why they did not file independent petition. Application appears to be not only misconceived but filed with an intent to mislead the court. Petition is without any merit and same is hereby dismissed.

**(Rajan Gupta)
Judge**

August 23, 2018

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No