

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2887 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.05.2018

Naresh Kumar

.. Petitioner

vs.

M/s Ram Parkash Ashok Kumar

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

--

Harinder Singh Sidhu, J.

The petitioner-landlord has filed an ejectment petition against the respondent seeking its eviction from the demised premises on the grounds non payment of rent and bonafide necessity. The respondent was proceeded against ex-parte and eviction order dated 20.10.2012 was passed against him. The respondent filed an application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC for setting aside the ex-parte order dated 20.10.2012, which was dismissed by learned Rent Controller vide order dated 03.10.2017. The respondent filed an appeal against the aforesaid order, which was allowed vide order dated 11.04.2018. It is this order, which has been impugned in this revision petition.

Vide the said order, the exparte ejectment order dated 20.12.2012 passed by learned Rent Controller has been set aside and it has been directed to decide the main rent petition titled as 'Naresh Kumar vs. M/s Ram Parkash Ashok Kumar' afresh on merits after giving an opportunity to the parties in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is suffering from a serious ailment on account of which he had taken

premature retirement in February 2010. The eviction petition has been pending for the last more than 09 years. He limits his prayer to seeking a direction to learned Rent Controller to decide the eviction petition expeditiously.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with a request to learned Rent Controller to decide the ejectment petition expeditiously and preferably within a period of six months.

03.05.2018
dinesh

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No