

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.3058 of 2006 (O&M)
Dated of decision:15.10.2018

Romesh Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Shakuntla Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sharad Mehla, Advocate for the respondent.

LISA GILL, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that this petition filed by the tenant challenging the order dated 30.03.2006 passed by learned Appellate Authority, Ludhiana, has been rendered infructuous as demised premises has since been vacated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no specific instructions thereof.

In view of the fact that learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to take specific instructions despite opportunity having been afforded and keeping in view the specific statement of learned counsel for the respondent, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Needless to say, petitioner is at liberty to seek revival of the petition if the averments as above are not as per the factual position.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

October 15, 2018
Ishwar