

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

246

CR No.3290 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.04.2018

M/s Goddard Builders and Construction Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

Versus

M/s Basic Developers (P) Ltd. and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Harsh Bunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. O.P. Goyal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Radhika, Advocate and
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This revision petition has been filed against the order passed by the learned trial Court while deciding the application for temporary injunction.

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the respondent has apprised the Court that the trial has made substantial progress and the plaintiff is almost at the verge of concluding its evidence. It has been brought to the notice of the Court that now the case is fixed for 26.04.2018 for recording the evidence of two official witnesses.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that instead of deciding the revision petition on merits, it would be more appropriate if the learned trial Court is requested to expeditiously decide the main suit itself.

Learned counsel for the parties have undertaken that they

would not seek any unnecessary adjournment and would conclude their evidence within the time granted by this Court.

In view of the above consensus arrived at, the plaintiff is granted one opportunity apart from the date already fixed i.e. 26.04.2018. Thereafter, the defendants shall be granted four effective opportunities to lead their entire evidence.

In view thereof, learned trial Court is directed to decide the suit finally, within a period of six months, from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

19.04.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No