

107 + 227
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-7279-CII-2018 in/and
CR No. 3259 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.4.2018

Sumitra Devi Petitioner

Versus

Manpreet Singh alias Maneet Kumar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Singla, Advocate, for applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CN-7279-CII-2018

An application has been filed under Order V Rule 20(1-A) read with Section 151 CPC, 1908, for effecting service of respondent through publication in newspaper.

Heard.

The perusal of revision shows that address of respondent is of Janta Colony, Delhi. It is not the complete address. It is contended that same address was given in sale deed. However, once a case is filed, petitioner is required to file complete address of respondent, so that he can be served. This is a vague address. Revision has been filed without verifying the address of respondent. As such, there is no ground to allow substitute service. Consequently, application is dismissed.

Main case

Since correct and complete address of respondent is not being

CR No. 3259 of 2015 (O/M)

filed, revision is dismissed. Since main case is dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No