

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

FAO No. 2824 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: 2.5.2018

Mamta Devi

---Petitioner

versus

Anil Kohli

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. D.K.Prajapati, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Rekha Mittal, J.

The present petition directs challenge against concurrent findings recorded by the Courts whereby application for eviction from portion of House No. 332 filed under Section 13 of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973 (in short “the Act”) has been allowed by the Rent Controller and order of eviction came to be affirmed by the Appellate Authority.

After arguing for sometime, counsel for the petitioner would state that he does not press the petition on merits but the petitioner may be given reasonable time to vacate the tenancy premises.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of. Eviction order passed by the Rent Controller and affirmed in appeal is confirmed, subject, however, to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall hand over vacant possession of demised premises on or before 31.12.2018.
2. She shall deposit arrears of rent upto date within a period of one

month and rent of subsequent months till vacation by 10 of each
calendar month with the Rent Controller, Ambala.

3. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking within a period of 15
days before the Rent Controller, Ambala that she will hand over
vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondent-
landlord on or before 31.12.2018.

Failure of the petitioner to comply with either of the aforesaid conditions
would entitle the respondent to execute the eviction order forthwith.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

2.5.2018
PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No