

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2809 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 01, 2018**

Karaj Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shamsher Singh alias Kukka

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 06.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Amritsar, vide which at the time of filing of the suit, learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Amritsar observed that no ground is made out for grant of ex parte ad interim injunction. Therefore, the notice of the suit and stay application was issued to the defendant.

Heard.

I am of the view that before granting the injunction, hearing the opposite party is a rule and ex parte injunction is granted only in exceptional cases. If learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Amritsar, has decided to hear the opposite party, there is nothing illegal in the same.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to expeditiously dispose of

the application for grant of interim injunction, preferably within 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Since, the main petition has been dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No