

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2746-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 4.5.2018

Bikramjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jaspreet Bedi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 9.10.2017 (Annexure P-10), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Amritsar vide which maintenance @ 6,000/- per month was allowed to respondent No. 2 i.e. minor son, namely Sahib Preet Singh, from the date of filing of the application till the disposal of the petition.

Heard.

It comes out that the minor child of the parties is 2009 born and is studying in school. Though, it is stated that wife is also having good income being Lecturer in Government College for Women at Amritsar and is also getting royalty from the books. However, his father is also working in HDFC Bank.

Considering the fact that both parents have the duty to maintain the child and considering the expenses of education; clothing and food etc., Rs. 6,000/- per month as maintenance to the minor child granted vide impugned order dated 9.10.2017 (Annexure P-10), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Amritsar cannot be called excessive or exorbitant.

Dismissed.

Since the main petition is dismissed, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.5.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No