

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2740 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.04.2018

Rahul (Minor) and another

....Petitioner (s)

Versus

Mahender Singh & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

HARI PAL VERMA, J.

Petitioner-plaintiffs have filed the present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, impugning the order dated 10.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Hansi, whereby the application filed by the petitioner-defendants to lead additional evidence was dismissed.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the impugned order, this Court finds that it has been recorded by the trial Court that the petitioner-defendants were afforded as many as 11 opportunities to lead evidence. However, the petitioner-defendants have failed to exhibit certified copies of *Nehri Girdawari* for the year 2016-17 and *parchi* of *Nehri maal*. The plea that it was because of accidental slip of mind of the petitioners as well as their counsel, cannot be accepted, more

particularly when the petitioners have been afforded as many 11 opportunities and the said documents were in their knowledge.

Therefore, this Court finds that there is no illegality in the impugned order passed by the Court below.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

April 27, 2018
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No