

111.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CIVIL REVISION-262-2018

Date of decision:14.03.2018.

RAMOTAR THROUGH HIS LRS AND OTHERS ... Petitioners

Versus

GAYARSI LAL AND OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate, for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed present revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) whereby the application filed by the petitioner-plaintiffs under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment of the prayer clause was dismissed. Though on the date when the present revision petition was listed for hearing at motion stage learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the suit filed by the plaintiffs is in the nature of declaration and now the plaintiffs want to raise the plea of specific performance in the alternative, with an undertaking that they shall not lead any evidence to that effect.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has produced a photocopy of judgment passed by trial Court wherein the suit filed by the petitioners has already been dismissed on 09.03.2018.

In view of aforesaid development, present revision petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

14.03.2018

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.