

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3039 of 2016

Date of Decision: 08.02.2018

Avtar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. G. S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that arguing counsel is out of station, therefore, request for an adjournment is made.

It comes out that for the first time, the case was adjourned for 08.07.2016 on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner. Since then the adjournments are being sought on one or the other grounds and the matter is not being argued for the last one year and seven months, therefore, no ground is made out to grant further adjournment.

I have considered the case of the petitioner and have also gone through the file.

Impugned is the order dated 03.01.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Patiala, vide which it was held that judgment debtor has paid the entire amount as awarded by the Arbitrator or by the Court. Therefore, there is no ground to further direct the judgment debtor to pay any further interest.

After going through the impugned order, I am of the view that the lower Court has made the calculations regarding the payment of amount by the judgment debtor and also the interest payable and after making calculations, it has been found that judgment debtor has paid the entire amount including the interest.

No fault can be found with the calculations made by the Executing Court.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 08, 2018

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No