

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH  
CR No.2599 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : April 23, 2018**

**Het Ram** ..... **Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Moti Ram** ..... **Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the learned counsel before the trial court was out of station. Therefore, the reply to the application under Order XXXIX, Rule 2-A CPC, 1908, could not be filed and seeks one last opportunity to file the reply, which is now ready, subject to payment of costs.

Since, it is an application for punishment on account of disobedience of the order of the Court, it is deemed proper that the respondent-petitioner should be granted one more opportunity to file reply to the application. Accordingly, the order dated 20.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Rewari, is set aside and the respondent-petitioner is permitted to file reply to the application, subject of payment of costs of ₹20,000/- to the applicant.

As such, the present revision petition is disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**April 23, 2018**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No