

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2550 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 20, 2018**

Sarup Singh Thakran and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh Chandra and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The petitioners Sarup Singh Thakran and others are before this Court in this revision petition against the order dated 04.07.2016 Annexure P/1 of the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram followed by order dated 17.03.2018 Annexure P/2 of the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram, whereby, petitioners have been directed to affix *ad valorem* Court fee by allowing application dated 28.11.2009 Annexure P/3 of the respondents. It is much in evidence and is the own stand of the petitioners that in the suit from which the impugned order has come about having impugned sale deeds executed by the present respondents and are trying to get the same set aside and, therefore, under the provisions of Suits Valuation Act, the same stands covered under Section 7(vii) and, therefore, *ad valorem* Court fee ought to have been affixed on the plaint. Thus, apparently the Court below has rightly concluded that being a challenge to the sale deeds they are bound to affix *ad valorem* Court fee on the sale consideration of the impugned sale deeds. Thus, the

impugned order does not suffers from any illegality or perversity and being hopelessly without any merits stands dismissed in limine.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 20, 2018
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No