

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 25.09.2018****CR No.2591 of 2017 (O&M)**

Ajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Sukhjit Singh

...Respondent

CR No.946 of 2018

Ajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Sukhjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate, for the respondent.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respective parties are *ad idem* that these matters may be disposed of in terms of the directions contained in Paragraph 131 (concluding paragraph) of the judgment reported as Krishan Kumar & others Vs. Kamla Devi & others, 2016 (2) PLJ 276; 2016 (1) RCR (Rent) 525.

In view thereof, both the revision petitions are disposed of.

Accordingly, a formal application may be filed before the Rent Controller within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The amendment will be allowed and the Rent Controller will proceed

to re-decide the application for leave to defend under the Punjab Rent Act, 1995.

The admission made before this Court by counsel on 04.08.2017 is permitted to be withdrawn in the interest of justice so that the extra baggage is not carried to the court of the Rent Controller for reaching its independent conclusions.

25.09.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>