

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2547 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 20, 2018**

M/s Shakti Securities and another Petitioners

Versus

M/s Eveline International and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.02.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Ludhiana, whereby PW3, who is an official of HDFC Bank, during his cross-examination, on the application moved by the defendant, was directed to bring certain record as mentioned in the application.

Heard.

The trial Court after obtaining the reply, vide impugned order came to the conclusion that the record sought by the applicant by way of moving the present application is relevant in order to solve the controversy arisen in the present case.

I am of the view that the relevancy of record is to be seen by the trial Court and this Court while exercising the revisional powers cannot interfere in the same. The official of HDFC Bank was under cross-examination and he was directed to bring the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the entire record of other clients of the present petitioner is summoned to destroy his business. There is nothing to conclude that producing of said record by bank official will destroy the business of plaintiff.

I am of the view that the relevancy of the record is to be determined by the trial Court. This Court should not interfere in the recordings of evidence by the trial Court. Thus, there is no ground to interfere in the same.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No