

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2527 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 20, 2018**

Surinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mohinder Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 16.01.208 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Nawanshahar, vide which the evidence of the plaintiff-petitioner was closed by orders.

Heard.

A perusal of the interim orders produced by the petitioner with the petition shows that the petitioner was granted as many as 10 effective opportunities to conclude his evidence. Out of these 10 opportunities, on four occasions, last opportunity was granted. Thereafter, the evidence of the plaintiff-petitioner was closed by orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he could not examine Rajesh Kumar, witness of the receipt and he may be allowed to be examined by granting one more opportunity.

I am of the view that under the amended CPC, 1908, only three opportunities are to be granted. Even then, the trial Court was liberal to

grant up to 10 opportunities. Out of which on four occasions, last opportunity was granted. Therefore, sufficient opportunities have been granted to the plaintiff-petitioner to conclude his evidence. Thus, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No