

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2511 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.4.2018

Varinder Singh Hundal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kamaljit Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that he has filed an application for restoration of suit on 5.4.2013 which has not been decided so far. Therefore, he seeks intervention of this Court under Article 227 of Constitution of India for issuing appropriate directions to trial Court.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondents, revision is disposed of with a direction to trial Court to decide said application within three months by preferably holding day to day proceedings. The learned District Judge, Ludhiana, is directed to keep watch on the proceedings to ensure that order of this Court is complied with.

\

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No