

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 27.09.2018

1. CR No.2503 of 2018 (O&M)

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sat Parkash

..... Respondent

2. CR No.2427 of 2018 (O&M)

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sat Parkash Jain

..... Respondent

3. CR No.3906 of 2018 (O&M)

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sat Parkash

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner (in all cases).

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Vide this judgment, I shall be disposing of three revision petitions bearing CR No.2503, 2427 and 3906 of 2018 which are connected.

Counsel for the parties are ad idem that the learned Executing

Court be directed to decide the application under Section 151 filed by the petitioner-Anil Kumar expeditiously but not later than six months. It is also agreed that till then, the landlord would not proceed with the execution proceedings and the same shall remain stayed.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, the following directions are necessary:-

In this case, the tenant is asserting fraud. There is a photocopy of rent deed dated 05.09.2016 Ex.P-4 on the file. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Anil Kumar contends that this rent deed is not only signed by landlord Sat Parkash but is also signed by his counsel in the previous petition namely Deepak Kanojia, Advocate as a witness. The other witness on the rent deed is the lawyer representing Anil Kumar in the previous petition.

Learned Executing Court is directed to immediately examine on oath Sh. Deepak Kanojia as well as Sh. Rohit Thapar, Advocates.

The pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

With these observations, the revision petitions are disposed of.

27.09.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No