

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 3178-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.02.2018

Mahender Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr.Pritam Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana and
Mr.Ashwani Kumar Saini, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Present revision petition is against the order dated 12.2.2011, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad, vide which execution regarding payment of compensation on account of land acquisition was dismissed as satisfied.

Before this Court, both the parties have filed the calculations. Petitioners claimed that there is a shortfall in the payment. The contrary is claimed by the opposite party. Both the parties have agreed that both the parties shall submit the calculations before the Executing Court of learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad, who will consider the case and decide as to whether there is a shortfall or not and if there is a shortfall what further amount is to be recovered from the JD.

In view of the matter, impugned order is set aside. The case is remanded back to the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad

with directions to take on record the calculations submitted by both the parties and decide as to whether there is any shortfall in the payment of compensation and if it is so, recover the same in accordance with law.

The revision petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.02.2018

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No