220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.265 of 2014
Date of Decision: 12.07.2018

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation Chandigarh

....Petitioner

Vs

M/s Garg Rice Mills

.....Respondent

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

****

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. During course of arguments, learned counsel for the respondent relied upon letter No.2680 dated 04.10.2017 to show that one time settlement has already been finalized and the respondent has already paid the requisite amount towards interest. Even the candidature of the respondent for further assessment of paddy for custom milling has been acknowledged for the year 2017-18.

2. In view of categoric statement of learned counsel for the respondent coupled with the aforesaid document, I deem it appropriate to dismiss this revision petition as having rendered infructuous.

Jyoti Yadav
2018.07.16 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get it revived in case statement of fact as mentioned above is found to be otherwise.

12.07.2018 **(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**
Jyoti Yadav **JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No

Jyoti Yadav
2018.07.16 15:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document