

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2479-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.4.2018

M/s V.K.Construction Pvt. Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K.Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 8.1.2014 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Dasuya vide which execution was dismissed having been fully satisfied.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he had earlier challenged another order passed by the Executing Court by way of filing a Civil Revision No. 1125 of 2014 (Annexure P-15). Petitioner wanted to withdraw the said revision on the ground that subsequently order dated 8.1.2014 has been passed but this Court observed that the said order can be separately challenged. The perusal of the certified copy of the impugned order shows that certified copy was delivered on 20.1.2014. The present revision was filed on 4th April 2018, i.e., after four years and three months. The only ground taken is that certified copy of the order was given to the

counsel, who inadvertently did not challenge the said order.

I am of the view that present revision is barred by delay and laches. After four years and three months, this Court is constrained not to interfere in the impugned order dated 8.1.2014 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Dasuya.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No