

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No. 2900 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 21.03.2018**

Mohan Lal

...Petitioner

versus

Sarla Devi and ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Gautam Kailey, Advocate for  
Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)**

**C.M. No. 8786-CII-2015**

For the reasons mentioned in the application, delay of 10 days  
in filing of the revision petition is hereby condoned.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

**C.R. No. 2900-2015**

Petitioner (tenant)- Mohan Lal has filed the present civil  
revision against the findings recorded by Ld. Rent Controller, Sonapat and  
the Appellate Authority, Sonapat, vide orders dated 25.03.2014 and  
17.12.2014, respectively, whereby he has been ordered to be evicted from  
the demised premises, as shown in site plan Ex PW2/A.

A perusal of the impugned order(s) show that appellant while  
appearing as R.W.1 himself admits that he is having another shop being run  
under the name and style of New Shahnaz Beauty Parloru and the factum of

visiting card/Ex P-3 qua the said shop is also admitted in his cross examination. R.W.1 further admits that the sons of the petitioner are practicing advocates in District Courts. He has further admitted that there is no other building except the present one belonging to the petitioner-landlady in the area.

Thus, the admission of the appellant himself was sufficient enough to return a finding that the land lady has the bona fide requirement for settling her sons who wants to run their office from the demised premises.

Reference has rightly been made to a judgment of this Court in a case of *Sunita Rani v. Ramesh Chander 2008(4) PLR 143* wherein it has been held that profession of lawyer is a business and a lawyer is entitled to evict tenant from non-residential premises on the ground of bona fide requirement.

The above said judgment was rightly held to be directly applicable to the facts of the present case and hence the petition stands dismissed.

21.03.2018  
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes*  
*No*