

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2422-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.07.2018

M/s Besto Electronics Pvt. Ltd. and others ...Petitioners

Versus

M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Limited ... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Raj Mohan Singh

Present: Mr. Anjum Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate,
Mr. Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned senior counsel for the respondent has pointed out that in terms of the Order 43 Rule 1(r), appeal was maintainable against the impugned order. Even as per proviso to Section 13 of the Commercial Courts Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts Act, 2015, appeal is maintainable against such order as the Order 43 Rule 1 is made applicable therein.

In view of the abovesaid, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present revision petition

with liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

24.07.2018
P.Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No