

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2303 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 26, 2018**

Naresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ram Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-7929-CII-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The zimni orders dated 11.5.2017 and 11.07.2017 are taken on record as Annexures P-9 and P10.

Main case

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jagadhri, whereby the evidence of the defendant-petitioner was closed by orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he was granted five effective opportunities to conclude his evidence. However, defendant could not be examined and seeks one opportunity to examine the defendant, subject to costs.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondent, the defendant is granted one more opportunity to examine the defendant only, subject to costs of ₹5,000/- payable to the opposite party.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 26, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No