

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2267 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 17, 2018**

Neeta **Petitioner**

Versus

Hem Raj Singh **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.L. Saggar, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Armaan Saggar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Verma, Advocate, who has appeared for the respondent in the connected transfer petition, has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent.

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 13.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, whereby the defence of the present petitioner was struck off for not filing the written statement within 30 days.

In the interest of justice, the present petitioner is given one more opportunity to file the written statement on or before the next date of hearing, subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be paid to the opposite party.

As such, the present revision petition is allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 17, 2018

sarita

SARITA RANI
2018.04.18 14:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No