

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2219 of 2018
Date of decision:04.04.2018**

Gurpreet Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Darshan Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. M.M.Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner-plaintiffs are aggrieved of the impugned order dated 21.03.2018 (Annexure P-5), whereby, their evidence has been closed.

Mr. M.M.Pandey learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, subject to any terms and conditions which this Court deem fit, the petitioners will conclude the entire evidence. He further submits that no prejudice would be caused to the respondents, who shall be compensated in terms of costs.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and appraised the paper book.

No doubt, the petitioners had taken many adjournments to lead evidence and failed to do so, but I am of the view that the lis between the

parties is required to be adjudicated on merits and not on technicalities. In order to render justice, I deem it appropriate, to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioners before the Court below to conclude entire evidence, subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- to be paid to the contesting respondents, which shall be a condition precedent .

Accordingly, the revision petition stands allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 04, 2018
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No