

248

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2199 of 2018

Date of Decision: 18.05.2018

Mukhtiar Kaur

....Petitioner

Vs

Manjeet Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. As per office report, service is complete.
2. Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior D.A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4.
4. Petitioner has assailed the order dated 23.02.2018 passed by Civi Judge (Senior Division), Khanna whereby application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC has been rejected.
5. Evidently, respondents No.3 and 4 are the functionaries of State of Punjab.
6. Prayer for impleading State of Punjab has been rejected by the trial Court on the ground that specific objection

was taken in the written statement filed by defendants No.3 and 4, but still necessary impleadment was not done by the plaintiff. Plaintiff has already led her evidence and thereafter, the application came to be filed.

7. Keeping in view the nature of prayer made in the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, I deem it appropriate to allow the application and implead State of Punjab through Secretary Education Department as party defendant No.4 in the suit as no prejudice is going to be caused to the parties.

8. Normal consequences to follow in accordance with law.

18.05.2018
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No