

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2197 of 2018
Date of decision:-04.04.2018

M/s Raman Saw Mills and Others

..Petitioners

Versus

Jain Kant Jindal and Others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed against the order dated 20.03.2018 whereby an application filed by the respondent-landlord to summon copy of file bearing Case No. CS 267 decided by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri on dated 27.01.2010 bearing titled as Aman Kapoor Versus Veena Kapoor, Local commissioner report along with the photographs from the file titled as Jai Kant versus Raman Saw Mills pending for 27.02.2018 before the court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn), Jagadhri and sales tax file of Raman Saw Mill Kept with sales tax department having record from year 1961 till year 2012. The same application has been allowed keeping in view the provision of Order 13 Rule 10.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the photostat copies of above said file is not admissible in evidence.

At this stage, it is observed that above said records will assist the court to decide the real controversy between the parties in the present petition and objection in this regard can be raised by the appellant at the time of final arguments. Hence, said files have to be summoned and present petition stands dismissed.

04.04.2018
Riya Grover

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable : No