

CR No. 2092 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.3.2018

..... Petitioner

Versus

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 25.10.2017 (Annexure-P-7), passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Mansa, vide which in an appeal, delay of 227 days in filing the appeal was condoned after framing the issues and recording the evidence subject to costs of Rs. 1,000/-.

I have examined the record.

The learned Additional District Judge, Mansa, has come to the conclusion that delay has occurred on account of negligence on the part of clerk in the office of counsel. There is nothing to counter said finding of fact. No ground to interfere in the impugned order is made out. Consequently, revision is dismissed. Since main petition has been dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No