

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(1) Civil Revision No.2232 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Order: 27.03.2018

Khjan

..Petitioner

Versus

J.S.R. Land Developers Pvt. Ltd. and Ors.

..Respondents

(2) Civil Revision No.2243 of 2014 (O&M)

Khjan

..Petitioner

Versus

J.S.R. Land Developers Pvt. Ltd.

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Bijender Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Singhal, Advocate, for
Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate,
for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Both these revision petitions are arising out of an order passed by the trial court under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, deciding application for temporary injunction.

It is not disputed during the course of arguments that both the suits have been dismissed for non-prosecution. Although, learned counsel for the petitioner-Khajan has submitted that in the suit filed by his client, application for restoration of the suit was dismissed by the trial court and an

appeal against the same is pending, however, in other suit which was filed by J.S.R. Land Developer Pvt. Ltd., no application for restoration has been filed.

In view of the admitted facts, both the revision petitions have become infructuous and the same are dismissed as infructuous.

However, respective parties would be at liberty to move fresh applications under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure as and when the suits are restored.

March 27, 2018
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No