

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.201 of 2018
Date of decision : 05.02.2018

Bhanu Pratap

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Madhu Smita Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-husband is in the revision petition against the order granting the maintenance pendente lite at the rate of ₹21,000/- per month from the date of the application.

It is not in dispute that the husband-petitioner is earning between ₹85,000/- to ₹90,000/- per month as per his affidavit. It is the case of the wife that the petitioner is earning more than lacs of rupees per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the wife is also working and is earning ₹25,000/-. However, he admits that another affidavit was filed by the wife submitting that she is now unemployed.

In view thereof, the amount of maintenance granted by the Court is not excessive.

Revision petition is dismissed.

05.02.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No