



CR No. 1994 of 2018 (O/M)

closure of evidence, evidence of respondents No. 24 and 25 was recorded and they were allowed to cross examine the witnesses of plaintiff.

I am of the view that plaintiff got nearly four years to conclude his evidence. The costs were imposed and as per order, last opportunity was granted. Since the learned counsel for petitioner was present, therefore, petitioner cannot state that he was not aware of the order closing evidence by orders. The said order was not challenged. It was only when application for additional evidence was dismissed that the said order has been challenged. I am of the view that sufficient opportunities have been granted to plaintiff. There has to be some end to the litigation. The case is pending since the year 2011. There is no ground to interfere in impugned order. Consequently, civil revision is dismissed. Since main case has been dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

26.3.2018  
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No