

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1992 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 26.3.2018

Daler Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order 7.2.2018 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Gurdaspur, vide which application filed under Section 151 read with Section 152 CPC, 1908, for amendment in the judgment and decree, was partly allowed and partly dismissed.

The petitioner has invoked the powers of this Court under Article 227 of Constitution of India against said order.

I am of the view that the judgment and decree has been passed. The petitioner has pointed out some clerical errors, some of which have been corrected and some have been declined. I am of the view that if petitioner is aggrieved of the fact that some relief has been declined in judgment or incorrectly mentioned, he can always file an appeal against the judgment and decree. There is no ground to direct the lower Court to correct the judgment and decree in terms of remaining prayer of petitioner. Consequently, revision is dismissed. Since main petition has been dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No